| $\begin{gathered} \text { SLD } \\ \text { Areas } \end{gathered}$ | Caterory I <br> Academic achievement with respect to gradeIevel expectations. |  | Category II <br> Academic achievement with respect to age-level expectations. | Category III <br> Classroom performance with respect to grade-level expectations. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Progress monitoring, CBM screening or criterionreferenced assessments | State Assessment(s) | SS Normreferenced achievement tests $\qquad$ | Curriculum assessments (including End of Course State Assessments) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Stand } \\ & \text { Repor } \\ & \text { Grades } \\ & 1,2,3 \end{aligned}$ | ndo card Teacher report | Classroom observation |
| Basic Reading | $5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~V}^{4}$ | 9ragle | S N (W)70 | S N W | S N(1) | S N W | S N W |
| Reading Fluency | S NOTin | Sed W | S N $07^{73}$ | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W |
| Reading Comp. | SN W | S NW | S N (4) ${ }^{\circ}$ | S N W | S N $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ | S N W | S N W |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Math } \\ & \text { Calc. } \end{aligned}$ |  | S.N W | S N(\%) ${ }^{(07}$ | S N W | $\mathrm{SN}(\mathrm{W})$ | S N W | S N W |
| Math ProblemC Solving |  | S N W and. | SN (4) $0^{99}$ | S N W | S N (W) | S N W | S N W |
| Written Express. | S N W | S N W | $\mathrm{SN} 0^{37}$ | S N W | S N 0 | S N W | S N W |
| Oral Express. | S N W | S N W | S N093 | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W |
| Listening Comp. | S N W | S N W | S N 073 | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W |



Area(s) of Strength - At least 3 'S' checked across Categories I - IV in at least one SLD Area: none

## $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{Strength}$

$\mathrm{N}=$ Neither Strength $/$ Weakness
$\mathrm{W}=$ Weakness

Area(s) of Weakness - At least 3 ' $W$ ' checked across Categories 1 - IV in at least one SLD Area, including at least 1 from an individually administered complete and comprehensive academic achievement assessment). Basic reading, reeding compe, math cale.
math prob. Solving.
Suggested Guidelines for Determining Strengths and Weakness

| Assessment Type | Strength | Weakness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Progress Monitoring | Meeting / exceeding aim line | Falling below aim line for at least 4 consecutive weeks on most recent tests |
| CBM (Benchmark) screening | At 'benchmark' level or above grade-level median score if using local norms | At "at-risk' level or below t $0 \%$ ile ( 80 SS ) if using local norms. |
| Criterion-referenced Assessment | Percentile rank $\geq 25$ (90 SS) | Percentile rank $\leq 10(80 \mathrm{SS})$ |
| State Assessment(s) | Level 3 or Level 4 | Level 1 or Level 2 |
| Norm-referenced tests (Achievement, IQ) | Percentile rank $\geq 25$ (90 SS $)$ | Percentile rank $\leq 10$ (80 SS) |
| Curriculum Assessments | Scores $\geq 80 \%$ (80/100) | Scores $\leq 70 \%$ (70/100) |
| Grades | A/B or 'meets / exceeds' expectations | D/F or 'does not meet' expectations |
| Teacher Report | Based upon professional judgment of teacher in comparing student to others in classroom. | Based upon professional judgment of teacher in comparing student to others in classroom |
| Observations-Academic | Student demonstrates average understanding of academic content in comparison to other students in classroom. | Student demonstrates that he or she does not understand the academic content. |
| Observations/Interviews/Scales Functional | Student demonstrates typical functional skills in comparison to other students the same age or in the same grade. Percentile rank on scale $\geq 25$ ( 90 SS ). | Most of the student's functional skills appear to be well below average in comparison to other students the same age or in the same grade. Percentile rank on scale $\leq 10$ ( 80 SS ) |

[^0]
## Student's Name

Date: 11/06/2016
Assessment: Documentation of Pattern of Strengths/Weaknesses

## Standard Scores (Total): n/a

Other Scores: Summary of the Pattern of Alabama State Department Strengths and Weaknesses (PSW) Chart: According to Alabama guidelines, students should show a pattern of weaknesses in at least one Specific Learning Disability (SLD) area (including at least I from an individually administered complete and comprehensive achievement assessment), strengths in at least one SLD area and/or the intellectual/functional box checked as a strength before determining that a student is eligible in the area of Specific Learning Disability. A strength or weakness should be demonstrated through data obtained in at least three categories according to the PSW worksheet. A strength is classified as a standard score of 90 or greater, percentile rank of greater than or equal to 25 , level 3 or 4 on state assessments, $80 \%$ or greater on curriculum assessments, grades of A or B, meeting/exceeding aim line, or at or above "benchmark"/grade level, if using local norms. A weakness is classified as a standard score of 80 or below, percentile rank of less than or equal to 10 , level I or 2 on state assessments, $70 \%$ or less on curriculum assessments, grades of D or F , falling below aim line for at least 4 consecutive weeks, or "at-risk" level, if using local norms.

Studentars demonstrated a pattern of weaknesses in reading, math, and written expression in the various categories (Category I: RTI progress monitoring; Category II: KTEA-III all standard scores were below 80; Category III: grades 1's and 2's). Her adaptive functioning and IQ is in the neither category according to her standard scores of 87 and 81 .

Soudent does not demonstrate a pattern of strengths in any subject area.
Based on the data and testing, does not demonstrate a pattern of strengths and weaknesses due to her not have data to support a strength in any subject area. However, she does demonstrate multiple weaknesses in various subject areas.

Date: 11/06/2016
Assessment: Grades/Standardized Test Scores
Standard Scores (Total): n/a
Other Scores: 1st nine week grades for the 2016-2017 school year: Reading 1's, Math 1's and 2's, English I and 2's, Science S, Social Studies S, PE S, Visual Arts S, Music 3.

Area of Assessment: Documentation of Appropriate Instruction - Prong II

| SLD <br> Areas closs. | Category I <br> Academic achicvement with respect to gradelevel expectations. |  | Category II <br> Academic achievement with respect to age-level expectations. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Category III } \\ & \text { Classroom performance with respect to } \\ & \text { grade-level expectations. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Progress monitoring, CBM <br> screening or criterionreferenced sassessment (S)N W | State <br> Assessment(s) | stand. score referenced achievement tests $\qquad$ | Curriculum assessments (including End of Course State Assessments) | Grades | Teacher report | Classroom observation |
| Basic Reading |  | S N W | $10.5{ }^{10}$ | S N W | (5) N W | S N W | S N W |
| Reading Fluency | $\mathrm{SHW}^{+0}$ | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W |
| Reading Comp. | (5) N | SNW | $93 \text { N W }$ | S N W |  | S N W | S N W |
| Math Calc. | $5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | S N W | $1(5)$ | S N W | $)^{\text {ro }} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{W}$ | S N W | S N W |
| Math Problem Solving |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { riciertr } \\ & \text { SN W } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{100}{8} \mathrm{NW}$ | S N W |  | S N W | S N W |
| Written Express. | S N W | S N W | 99 (S) | S N W | (S) $\mathrm{N} W$ | S N W | S N W |
| Oral Express. | S N W | S N W | S N W | 5 NW | S N W | S N W | S N W |
| Listening Comp. | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W |


| Category IV <br> Age- <br> appropriate <br> functional/ <br> intellectual <br> skills | Basic <br> Psychological Processes |
| :---: | :---: |
| Observation, interviews, $1 Q$ assessment | Other PSW models |
| ABAS-145= $\begin{gathered} 18{ }^{12} \\ 20 \\ 295= \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | S N W |

## $S=$ Strength

$\mathrm{N}=$ Neither Strength/Weakness
$\mathrm{W}=$ Weakness

Area(s) of Strengh - At least $3^{\text {'S }}$ ' checked across Categories I - IV in at least one SLD Area: math cale. math prop. Solving, writen expusolor
 including at least 1 from an individually administered complete and comprehensive academic achievement assessment: no achievemert scores in wrange does not meet criteria
Suggested Guidelines for Determming strengths and Weakness

| Assessment Type | Strength | Weakness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Progress Monitoring | Meeting / exceeding aim line | Falling below aim line for at least 4 consecutive weeks on most recent tests |
| CBM (Benchmark) screening | At 'benchmark' level or above grade-level median score if using local norms | At 'at-risk' level or below $10 \%$ ile ( 80 SS ) if using local norms |
| Criterion-referenced Assessment | Percentile rank $\geq 25$ (90 SS) | Percentile rank $\leq 10(80 \mathrm{SS})$ |
| State Assessment(s) | Level 3 or Level 4 | Level I or Level 2 |
| Norm-referenced tests (Achievement, IQ) | Percentile rank $\geq 25$ (90 SS $)$ | Percentile rank $\leq 10$ (80 SS) |
| Curriculum Assessments | Scores $\geq 80 \%(80 / 100)$ | Scores $\leq 70 \%$ (70/100) |
| Grades | A/B or 'meets/exceeds' expectations | D/F or 'does not meet' expectations |
| Teacher Report | Based upon professional judgment of teacher in comparing student to others in classroom. | Based upon professional judgment of teacher in comparing student to others in classroom |
| Ohservations - Academic | Student demonstrates average understanding of academic content in comparison to other students in classroom. | Student demonstrates that he or she does not understand the academic content. |
| Observations/Lnterviews/Scales Functional | Student demonstrates typical functional skills in comparison to other students the same age or in the same grade. Percentite rank on scale $\geq 25$ ( 90 SS ). | Most of the student's functional skills appear to be well below average in comparison to other students the same age or in the same grade. Percentile rank on scale $\leq 10$ ( 80 SS ) |

[^1]Date: 11/06/2016
Assessment: Documentation of Pattern of Strengths/Weaknesses

## Standard Scores (Total): n/a

Other Scores: Summary of the Pattern of Alabama State Department Strengths and Weaknesses (PSW) Chart: According to Alabama guidelines, students should show a pattern of weaknesses in at least one Specific Leaming Disability (SLD) area (including at least 1 from an individually administered complete and comprehensive achievement assessment), strengths in at least one SLD area and/or the intellectual/functional box checked as a strength before determining that a student is eligible in the area of Specific Learning Disability. A strength or weakness should be demonstrated through data obtained in at least three categories according to the PSW worksheet. A strength is classified as a standard score of 90 or greater, percentile rank of greater than or equal to 25 , level 3 or 4 on state assessments, $80 \%$ or greater on curriculum assessments, grades of A or B, meeting/exceeding aim line, or at or above "benchmark"/grade level, if using local norms. A weakness is classified as a standard score of 80 or below, percentile rank of less than or equal to 10 , level 1 or 2 on state assessments, $70 \%$ or less on curriculum assessments, grades of D or F , falling below aim line for at least 4 consecutive weeks, or "at-risk" level, if using local norms.

Student demonstrating strengths across the various subject areas - reading, math, and written expression and in the different categories (Category I - progress monitoring on grade level/benchmark; Category II -KTEA-III standard scores all above 90; Category III - grades B or higher). Category IV (IQ - RIAS standard score $=95$; adaptive functioning - ABAS-III standard score $=120$ ).

Stredent is not demonstrating any academic weaknesses based on progress monitoring, achievement, and/or grades.

Therefore, she does not display a discemible pattern of strengths and weaknesses due to her not having any weaknesses among the different subject areas within the 3 out of 4 categories. She does though demonstrate strengths across all academic subjects within 3 out of 4 of the categories.

Area of Assessment: Documentation of Appropriate Instruction - Prong I
Date: 09/15/2016
Assessment: Teacher Checklist
Standard Scores (Total): n/a
Other Scores: The Documentation of Appropriate Instruction for Elementary Grades was completed at the IEP meeting with the student's teacher. The student has participated in a reading program (Reading Street) and math intervention program (AMSTI - Investigations) that are scientifically based as well as the student has received standards based instruction from a highly qualified teacher. Additionally, the student's data has beer reviewed and appropriate instructional strategies have been implemented in the classroom based on the student's needs. Small group instruction has also been implemented.

Area of Assessment: Environmental, Cultural, Language, and Economic Concerns Checklist
Date: 09/02/2016
Assessment: State ECEC Checklist
Standard Scores (Total): n/a
Other Scores: The student qualifies for Federal Programs enrollment since the student attends a Title I school. This is not considered to be the primary factor impacting the student's learning. No other environmental, language, cultural, and/or economic concems were a factor.

## Area of Assessment: Documentation of Appropriate Instruction - Prong II

| $\begin{gathered} \text { SLD } \\ \text { Areas } \end{gathered}$ | Category I <br> Academic achievement with respect to gradelevel expectations. |  | Category II <br> Academic achievement with respect to age-level expectations. | Category IIIClassroom performance with respect tograde-level expectations. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Progress monitoring. CBM screening or criterionreferenced assessments | State Assessment(s) |  | Curriculum assessments (including End of Course State Assessments) | Grades | Teacher report | Classroom observation |
| Basic Reading | S N W | 5 N | $)^{5} 0^{3}$ | S N W | s 0 W | S N W | S N W |
| Reading Ftuency | S N We | $S$ N W | S $0^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | S NW | S N W | S N W | S N W |
| Reading Comp. | s N(W) | N W | $5 \mathrm{~N} \mathbf{4}^{72}$ |  | $1 \mathrm{~s} 0^{70 \%}$ | S N W | S N W |
| Math Calc. | S N W | S N W | (S) ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ W | $40.50 \mathrm{~N}$ | SN W | S N W | S N W |
| Math Problem Solving | S N W | S N W | s n | S N W |  | S N W | S N W |
| Written Express. | S N W | S N W | s $\otimes^{7}$ | S N W | (S) NW | S N W | S N W |
| Oral Express. | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W |
| Listening Comp. | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W |


| Category IV <br> Age- <br> appropriate <br> functional/ <br> intellectual <br> skils | Basic <br> Psychological <br> Processes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Observation, <br> interviews, IQ <br> assestment | Other PSW <br> models |
| SIOADQA |  |

S = Strength
$\mathrm{N}=$ Neither Strength/Weakness
$\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{W}$ cakness

Area(s) of Strength - At least 3 'S' checked across Categories I - IV in at least one SLD Area:
Mmath compretodirens,
Area(s) of Weakness - At least $3^{\prime} W$ ' checked across Categories I IV in at least one SLD Area, including at least 1 from an individually administered complete and comprehensive academic achievement assessment)

Suggested Guidelines for Determining Strengths and Weakness

| Assessment Type | Strength | Weakness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Progress Monitoring | Meeting / exceeding aim line | Falling below aim line for at least 4 consecutive weeks on most recent tests |
| CBM (Benchmark) screeaing | At 'benchmark' level or above grade-level median score if using local norms | At 'at-risk' level or below $10 \%$ ile ( 80 SS ) if using local norms. |
| Criterion-referenced Assessment | Percentile rank $\geq 25$ (90 SS) | Percentile rank $\leq 10$ (80 SS) |
| State Assessment(s) | Level 3 or Level 4 | Level 1 or Level 2 |
| Norm-referenced tests (Achievement, IQ) | Percentile rank $\geq 25$ (90 SS $)$ | Percentile rank $\leq 10$ (80 SS) |
| Curriculum Assessments | Scores $\geq 80 \%(80 / 100)$ | Scores $\leq 70 \%$ (70/100) |
| Grades | A/B or 'meets/exceeds' expectations | D/F or 'does not meet' expectations |
| Teacher Report | Based upon professional judgment of teacher in comparing student to others in classroom | Based upon professional judgment of teacher in comparing student to others in classroom. |
| Observations - Academic | Student demonstrates average understanding of academic content in comparison to other students in classroom. | Student demonstrates that he or she does not understand the academic content |
| Observations/Interviews/Scales Functional | Student demonstrates typical functional skills in comparison to other students the same age or in the same grade. Percentile rank on scale $\geq 25$ ( 90 SS ) | Most of the student's functional skills appear to be well below average in comparison to other students the same age or in the same grade Percentile rank on scale $\leq 10$ ( 80 SS ) |

Date: 12/09/2016
Assessment: Documentation of Pattern of Strengths/Weaknesses

## Standard Scores (Total): n/a

Other Scores: Summary of the Pattern of Alabama State Department Strengths and Weaknesses (PSW) Chart: According to Alabama guidelines, students should show a pattern of weaknesses in at least one Specific Learning Disability (SLD) area (including at least I from an individually administered complete and comprehensive achievement assessment), strengths in at least one SLD area and/or the intellectual/functional box checked as a strength before determining that a student is eligible in the area of Specific Learning Disability. A strength or weakness should be demonstrated through data obtained in at least three categories according to the PSW worksheet. A strength is classified as a standard score of 90 or greater, percentile rank of greater than or equal to 25 , level 3 or 4 on state assessments, $80 \%$ or greater on curriculum assessments, grades of A or B, meeting/exceeding aim line, or at or above "benchmark"/grade level, if using local norms. A weakness is classified as a standard score of 80 or below, percentile rank of less than or equal to 10 , level 1 or 2 on state assessments, $70 \%$ or less on curriculum assessments, grades of D or F , falling below aim line for at least 4 consecutive weeks, or "at-risk" level, if using local norms.
Studenthas shown a pattern of weaknesses in the area(s) of reading comprehension. Data sources to support this determination include: Category I (STAR: urgent intervention), Category II (WJ-IV ACH: standard score 72), and Category III (curiculum assessment: Balance Assessment 44\%).

Student has shown a pattern of strengths in the area(s) of math computation. Data sources to support this determination include: Category 11 (WJ-IV ACH) and Category III (curriculum assessments multiplying by 10 s and 100 s, multiply 2 -digit by 2 -digit, Unit I Assessment). Studenthas shown a strength in the functional area as demonstrated through adaptive assessment: ABAS-III standard score of 120 .

## Area of Assessment: Environmental, Cultural, Language, and Economic Concerns Checklist

Date: 10/13/2016
Assessment: State ECEC Checklist
Standard Scores (Total): n/a
Other Scores: The student qualifies for Federal Programs enrollment since he attends a Title I school. This is not considered to be the primary factor impacting the student's learning. No other environmental, language, cultural, and/or economic concerns were a factor.

Area of Assessment: Documentation of Appropriate Instruction - Prong I
Date: 10/13/2016
Assessment: Teacher Checklist
Standard Scores (Total): n/a
Other Scores: The Documentation of Appropriate Instruction for Elementary Grades was completed at the IEP meeting with the student's teacher. The student has participated in a reading program (Reading Street) and math intervention program (AMSTI - Investigations) that are scientifically based as well as the student has received standards based instruction from a highly qualified teacher. Additionally, the student's data has beer reviewed and appropriate instructional strategies have been implemented in the classroom based on the student's needs. Small group instruction has also been implemented.

Area of Assessment: Documentation of Appropriate Instruction - Prong II

## Worksheet for Charting Patterns of Strengths and Weaknesses

| SLD <br> Areas | Cateqory I <br> Academic achievement with respect to gradelevel expectations. |  | Category II <br> Academic achicvement with respect to age-level expectations. | Category III <br> Classroom performance with respect to grade-level expectations. |  |  |  | ```Category IV Age appropriate functional/ intellectual skills``` | Basic <br> Psychological <br> Processes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Progress monitoring, <br> CBM <br> screening or criterionreferenced assessments, | State Assessment(s) $\qquad$ | standisce <br> Norm- <br> referenced <br> achievement <br> tests <br> $12 J 519$ | Curriculum assessments (including End of Course State Assessments) | Grades | Teacher report <br> 770 | Classroom observation | Observation, interviews, IQ assessment standard | Other PSW models |
| Basic <br> Reading | TN ( $)^{\circ}$ | NW | S N W ${ }^{68}$ | S N W | SN(W) | S N W | S N W | score |  |
| Reading Fluency | S $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{W})$ | SNW | S N W | S N W | S N (W) | S $\mathrm{N} W$ | S N W |  |  |
| Reading Comp. | PR N ${ }^{0}$ | 䧕. ${ }^{\text {N W }}$ | S N $\mathrm{D}^{71}$ | S N W | $\operatorname{SNO}$ | S N W | S N W | $\text { LXA } O-3=$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Math } \\ & \text { Calc. } \end{aligned}$ |  | SN W | s ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | S N W | (S) N W | S N W | S N W | $12 \operatorname{Ras}=93$ |  |
| Math Problem Solving | PRR-ANG (S) N W | S N W | $18 \times w$ | S N W | $\mathrm{S}^{80^{76} \mathrm{~W}}$ | S N W | S N W | $\text { (5) } \mathrm{w}$ | S N W |
| Written Express. | S N W | S N W | S N $4^{(10}$ | S N W | S N(4) | S NW | S N W |  |  |
| Oral Express. | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W |  |  |
| Listening Comp. | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W | S N W |  |  |

$\mathrm{S}=$ Strength
$\mathrm{N}=$ Neither Strength/Weakness
W = Weakness

Area(s) of Syrength - At least $3^{\text {' }} \mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ checked across Categories I - IV in at least one SLD Area:

## math problem oolving

Area(s) of Weakness - At least 3 ' W' checked across Categortes I-IV in at least one SLD Area, including at least 1 from an individually administered complete and comprehensive academic achievement assessment): BaALC Reading y Readinna. Comprinenasion Suggested Guidelines for Determining Strengths and Weakness

| Assessment Type | Strength | Weakness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Progress Monitoring | Meeting / execeding aim line | Falling below aim line for at least 4 consecutive weeks on most recent tests |
| CBM (Benchraark) screening | At 'benchmark' level or above grade-level median score if using local norms. | At 'at-risk' level or below $10 \%$ ile ( 80 SS ) if using local norms |
| Criterion-referenced Assessment | Percentile rank $\geq 25$ (90 SS) | Percentile rank $\leq 10(80 \mathrm{SS})$ |
| State Assessment(s) | Level 3 or Level 4 | Level 1 or Level 2 |
| Norm-referenced tests (Achievement, 1Q) | Percentile rank $\geq 25$ (90 SS) | Percentile rank $\leq 10(80 \mathrm{SS}$ ) |
| Curriculum Assessments | Scores $\geq 80 \%$ (80/100) | Scores $\leq 70 \%(70 / 100)$ |
| Grades | A/B or 'meets / exceeds' expectations | D/F or 'does not meet' expectations |
| Teacher Report | Based upon professional judgment of teacher in comparing student to others in ciassroom | Based upon professional judgment of teacher in comparing student to others in classroom. |
| Observations - Academic | Student demonstrates average understanding of academic content in comparison to other students in classroom. | Student demonstrates that he or she does not understand the academic content |
| Observations/Interviews/Scales Functional | Student demonstrates typical functional skills in comparison to other students the same age or in the same grade Percentile rank on scale $\geq 25$ ( 90 SS ) | Most of the student's functional skills appear to be well below average in comparison to other students the same age or in the same grade. Percentile rank on scale $\leq 10(80 \mathrm{SS})$. |

[^2]Date: 01/09/2017
Assessment: Documentation of Pattern of Strengths/Weaknesses

## Standard Scores (Total): n/a

Other Scores: Summary of the Pattern of Alabama State Department Strengths and Weaknesses (PSW) Chart: According to Alabama guidelines, students should show a pattern of weaknesses in at least one Specific Learning Disability (SLD) area (including at least I from an individually administered complete and comprehensive achievement assessment), strengths in at least one SLD area and/or the intellectual/functional box checked as a strength before determining that a student is eligible in the area of Specific Learning Disability. A strength or weakness should be demonstrated through data obtained in at least three categories according to the PSW worksheet. A strength is classified as a standard score of 90 or greater, percentile rank of greater than or equal to 25 , level 3 or 4 on state assessments, $80 \%$ or greater on curriculum assessments, grades of A or B, meeting/exceeding aim line, or at or above "benchmark"/grade level, if using local norms. A weakness is classified as a standard score of 80 or below, percentile rank of Jess than or equal to 10 , level 1 or 2 on state assessments, $70 \%$ or less on curriculum assessments, grades of D or F , falling below aim line for at least 4 consecutive weeks, or "at-risk" level, if using local norms.

Student has shown a pattern of weaknesses in the area(s) of basic reading and reading comprehension. Data sources to support this determination include: Category I (progress monitoring - performanceseries.com his performance profile report: grade equivalent 4.6 below standard for basic reading; progress monitoring performanceseries.com his performance profile report: grade equivalent 4.6 below standard for reading comprehension), Category II (WJ-IV ACH: standard score 58 for basic reading; standard score 71 for reading comprehension), and Category 1 H (grades: 9 weeks average of $57 \%$ ).

Btudent has shown a pattern of strengths in the area(s) of math problem solving. Data sources to support this determination include: Category I (progress monitoring - performanceseries.com his performance profile report: grade equivalent 6.2 in the average range), Category II (WJ-IV ACH: standard score $=101$ ), and Category III (grades: 9 weeks average of $80 \%$ ). Student I has a strength as well in the intellectual/functional area as demonstrated through IQ assessment: standard score of 93 .

Date: 01/10/2017
Assessment: Grades/Standardized Test Scores

## Standard Scores (Total): n/a

Other Scores: 1st nine week grades for the 2016-2017 school year: English $57 \%$ (comment: behavior hinders progess, does not complete work on time), Math $80 \%$, Life Science $60 \%$, Geography $75 \%$, PE 100\%, Design Model-PLTW $58 \%$ (comment: B conduct).
Date: 10/18/2016
Assessment: Attendance
Standard Scores (Total): n/a
Other Scores: Attended 33 out of 36 days, 2 unexcused and $I$ excused absences. 2 unexcused and 2 excused tardies.
Area of Assessment: Documentation of Appropriate Instruction - Prong II
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